

Agenda

- Asset Management: How do you slice the cake
- O&M contract options
- Performance measures for O&M contracts

A lifetime of performance – maximising your asset





This scope can be split up between 2 and 16 contractors with various degrees of inhouse services



The correct O&M concept is critical to maximise asset value.

Different O&M contract concepts have very different risk and return profiles.

<u>OEM/EPC = O&M</u>	Independent O&M
+ Knows the power plant	+ Potential cost advantage
+ Access to component supplier	+ Increased control of project owner
+ Preferred option by lenders	- Unknown ability to service and replace specific
- Lack of transparency	components
- Often expensive	
Fully wrapped	Unbundled
O&M contract to include component replacement,	SPV to assume risks for component replacement and
O&M contract to include component replacement, security, CBOP, EBOP, etc	SPV to assume risks for component replacement and multiple contractors
O&M contract to include component replacement, security, CBOP, EBOP, etc + Little involvement of project owner required	SPV to assume risks for component replacement and multiple contractors + Cost advantage
O&M contract to include component replacement, security, CBOP, EBOP, etc + Little involvement of project owner required + Preferred option by lenders	SPV to assume risks for component replacement and multiple contractors + Cost advantage +/- Greater involvement of the project owner requires



How to measure the performance of your O&M contractor

Technical Availability (TA): Ratio of time the power plant is available to total time

- Does not show how efficient the power plant operates
- Often misrepresented by exclusion of events (e.g. grid, weather, owners)
- Can not and should not be 100%

Performance Ratio (PR): Ratio of measured output to maximum output based on the name-plate rating

- · Good to compare days in the same period but ineffective as an absolute measure
- Influenced by TA and Equipment Effectiveness (EE). At 100% TA, the PR will equal the EE
- Often misrepresented by exclusion of events
- PR is subject to degradation, which can be different to the forecasted degradation

Energy Output: P50/P90 comparison

- Influenced mostly by weather and by PR and TA
- Forecast can be inaccurate

Soft measures: Quality of Reporting, H&S, Housekeeping, Invoicing

- Measured an important part of the deliverables
- Difficult to measure objectively